#### Case 2:09-cv-00477-FJM Document 5 Filed 04/14/09 Page 1 of 17

LODGED 1 COPY RECEIVED Daniel Saul Coven 2 APR 1 4 2009 30 W Carter Dr 3 CLERK US DISTINOT COURT Tempe AZ 85282 4 480-353-0903 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Case Number: Daniel Saul Coven 10 Plaintiff (Pro Se), 2:09-cv-00477-FJM 11 vs. 12 City of Chandler; Chandler Police ) Motion to Compel Production of 13 ) Electronic Records (1) Department; Lt Greg Jacquin as 14 Commander of Professional Standards 15 Section, Chandler Police Department 16 Defendant(s) 17 18 Plaintiff Respectfully requests the Court to Compel third parties Arizona 19 Department of Public Safety and the Federal Bureau of Investigation to 20 produce copies of electronic records. 21 22 Background 23 In his complaint, Plaintiff asserted that Chandler Police Officers likely 24 conducted database searches in the hours after having conducted an improper 25

vehicle search (Paragraphs 23 and 24). While Plaintiff did not yet specify a specific claim regarding database searches, Defendant Chandler Police Department has responsibilities under Arizona Administrative Code R13-1-201:

- B. A criminal justice agency accessing the ACJIS network shall meet the following security guidelines:
- 1. Access and dissemination of information from the ACJIS network is limited to criminal justice agencies for the administration of criminal justice or for criminal justice employment.

Plaintiff contends that such database searches conducted in absence of a specific criminal investigation after an improper vehicle search would also be improper because the searches would have served no legitimate criminal justice purpose. Further, those actions give weight to plaintiff's argument that the Officers were excessive in their actions during and after the stop.

Plaintiff discovered unusually activity in his own electronic records that provide considerable circumstantial evidence that one or more of the officers conducted internet searches. If true, it's not unreasonable to suppose that the officers also searched other available criminal justice databases such as NCIC and ACIS.

FBI Records

Plaintiff submitted a proper FOIA request for copies of the logs of any searches in NCIC or other FBI databases from 10 PM to 4am on the day of the events (Exhibit 1). Though NCIC is listed within the FOIA required listing of systems of records, FBI responded that such information was not in their normal systems (Exhibit 2). The tracking of access logs has been reported in popular media and is advertised by the FBI to assist connecting multiple law enforcement agencies that might be investigating similar persons, crimes, or properties. Plaintiff then submitted a proper administrative appeal to the Office of Information and Privacy, Department of Justice per FBI FOIA appeal procedures (Exhibit 3). FBI responded by moving the request to a different office citing Privacy Act (Exhibit 4). FBI has not provided a timely response to the appeal. As there should be no active investigation of the plaintiff and the records would not likely provide much insight into law enforcement practices outside the particular incident, FOIA Exemption 7 would not be a legitimate basis for withholding.

20

21

22

23

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Plaintiff served subpoena including a copy of the original FOIA request and appeal to aid cross-referencing (Exhibits 5 and 6). FBI has not responded to the subpoena.

24

25

Arizona Department of Public Safety

Plaintiff requested similar access logs from DPS maintained databases such as ACIC under Arizona Public Records Law (Exhibit 7). DPS responded that ARS 42-1750 specifically excludes criminal justice databases (including "network access logs" from an 2006 revision) from public records law (Exhibit 8).

Plaintiff served subpoena upon the Roger Vanderpool, Director of DPS for production of those records (Exhibit 9). DPS responded that ARS 42-1750 does not provide for providing Criminal Justice Information even with Civil Subpoena (Exhibit 10).

ARS 42-1750 is clear on the issue that Network Access logs are part of the Criminal Justice Information exemptions to Public Records Law since a recent 2006 revision, however, Subsection Y(7) reads

"Criminal justice information" means information that is collected by criminal justice agencies and that is needed for the performance of their legally authorized and required functions, such as criminal history record information, citation information, stolen property information, traffic accident reports, wanted persons information and system network log searches. Criminal justice information does not include the administrative records of a criminal justice agency.

Since the logs may have been generated from unauthorized searches, the logs of those searches should not be considered legally authorized, nor "needed", and therefore would not be protected "Criminal Justice Information". Since

#### Case 2:09-cv-00477-FJM Document 5 Filed 04/14/09 Page 5 of 17

the logs are maintained for system security and to trace unauthorized use, it does not seem reasonable that the records of police transactions would be wholly unavailable in a civil suit claiming improper database use. Conclusion This court should compel the FBI and Roger Vanderpool as Director of Arizona Department of Public Safety to provide timely copies of the requested access log records. Dated: Thursday, April 13th, 2009 Respectfully, Said Han Daniel Saul Coven 30 W Carter Dr #10-108 Tempe, AZ 85282 480-353-0903 

### Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Request

Tuesday, October 14th 2008

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Record Information/Dissemination Section
170 Marcel Drive
Winchester, VA 22602-4843

Dear FOIA specialist,

This is a request for any records, particularly electronic, that would indicate a search or attempted search of the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) or other FBI information system for information about myself that may have occurred on March 20<sup>th</sup> or 21<sup>st</sup> 2008 by the Chandler Police Department.

The searches may have been conducted using some or all of the following information:

**Daniel Coven** 

**Daniel S Coven** 

**Daniel Saul Coven** 

1889 W Queen Creek RD Apt 1105 Chandler AZ 85282

SSN: 043-68-2789

Arizona Drivers License: 043682789

**Please Note:** This is not a request for the contents or search results, but for records that would indicate whether such access or search took place, especially any timestamps that would indicate the exact time of such searches.

I have enclosed a Privacy Act Waiver.

Daniel Coven
30 W Carter Dr # 10-108
Tempe, AZ 85282
480-353-0903
foiarequest@tainable.com





#### Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

November 18, 2008

MR. DANIEL SAUL COVEN APARTMENT 10-108 30 WEST CARTER DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282

> Request No.: 1122456-000 Subject: COVEN, DANIEL

Dear Mr. Coven:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request noted above.

To promptly respond to requests, we concentrate on identifying main files in the central records system at FBI Headquarters. No records responsive to your FOIPA request were located by a search of the automated indices. If you believe the records you seek are maintained at one of our many FBI field offices, you must also make a request to the specific Field Office where you believe the records are maintained.

You may file an administrative appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information and Privacy, United States Department of Justice, 1425 New York Ave., NW, Suite 11050, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, within sixty days from the date of this letter. The envelope and the letter should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Appeal" or "Information Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the FBI File Fact Sheet.

Sincerely yours,

David M. Hardy
Section Chief,
Record/Information
Dissemination Section

Records Management Division

**Enclosure** 

### APPEAL: Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Request

Tuesday, November 11th 2008

Office of Information and Privacy
U.S. Department of Justice, Flag Building, Suite 570
Washington, DC 20530–0001

Dear FOIA specialist,

This is an appeal of the "No Records" response to my Oct 14<sup>th</sup> FOIA request (tracking # 1122456) (enclosed). I am requesting that a **second search** be performed as there is good reason to believe that some releasable information is available.

While my request is not limited to NCIC, that information system almost certainly received a search query on March 20<sup>th</sup>, 2008 for myself as the Chandler Police Department did "run a check" at 23:21 Arizona time through their CAD system and Chandler's commonly uses NCIC. I seek to uncover any logs that might indicate additional access attempts after 23:55 Arizona time.

Secondly, because of the vast efforts to secure the NCIC database from unauthorized access, which would normally include time-stamping and logging search request, and reports of law enforcement agencies being able to see other agencies who have inquired about a person, it is only reasonable to conclude that the NCIC system stores the requested information.

If such information is not readily available, please note that courts have found that requestors are entitled to reasonable programming to retrieve database information.

If provided with another "no records" response, I would appreciate any comments about the cause, such as logs being destroyed, no logs kept, or no query being performed.

Daniel Coven
30 W Carter Dr # 10-108
Tempe, AZ 85282
480-353-0903
foiarequest@tainable.com



### U.S. Department of Justice

#### Office of Information and Privacy

Telephone: (202) 514-3642

Washington, D.C. 20530

February 13, 2009

Mr. Daniel Coven No. 10-108 30 W Carter Drive Tempe, AZ 85282

Dear Mr. Coven:

Your appeal assigned Appeal No. 09-0462 pertaining to your request for an amendment or an accounting pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 has been forwarded to the Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties (OPCL) for processing. OPCL will adjudicate your appeal and will respond to you directly. If you would like to inquire about the status of your appeal, please contact OPCL directly at the following address:

Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties U.S. Department of Justice 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 940 Washington, DC 20530 (202) 514-0208

Sincerely,

Priscilla Jones

Supervisory Administrative Specialist

### **AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

5

State of Arizone

County of

**District Court** 

Case Number: 00-

Plaintiff:

**Daniel Saul Coven** 

VS.

Defendant:

City Of Chandler

For: Daniel Coven 30 W. Carter Drive # 10-108 Tempe, AZ 85282

Received by VANHORN & VANHORN, INC. on the 16th day of March, 2009 at 12:59 pm to be served on FBI, CJIS Division, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, WV 26301.

I, Roger A. Harper, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 20th day of March, 2009 at 1:25 pm, I:

served a CORPORATION by delivering a true copy of the Subpoena with the date and hour of service endorsed thereon by me, to: Scott Coffman as Material Handler for FBI, at the address of: 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, WV 26301.

I certify that I am over the age of 18, have no interest in the above action, and am a Certified Process Server, in good standing, in the judicial circuit in which the process was served.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 25th day of March, 2009 by the affiant who is personally known

NOTARY PUBLIC

Roger A. Harper Process Server

VANHORN & VANHORN, INC. 215 West Main Street Suite 102 Clarksburg, WV 26301

(304) 623-1362

Our Job Serial Number: 2009000570

6,1

SAO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoens in a Civil Case

	Issued by the	
UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT	Γ
	DISTRICT OF	Arizona
Daniel Saul Coven	SUBPOENA IN A	A CIVIL CASE
V.		
City of Chandler	Case Number:1	·
TO: FBI CJIS Division 1000 Custer Hollow Road Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306		
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the	e United States District court at the place, of	late, and time specified below to
testify in the above case.  PLACE OF TESTIMONY		COURTROOM
		DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the in the above case.	e place, date, and time specified below to te	stify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION		
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and place, date, and time specified below (list of CJIS computer logs indicating query, time and from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/2008 regard Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-067 or vision of the computer of the computer specified below (list of the computer specified	documents or objects).  I date, and users of searches conducted building Name: Daniel S (Saul) Coven, Addre	v Chandler Police or officers
to the Clerk of Court Fageral District CO	0-108 Tempe AZ 85282 before, or delivered out for District of Arizona on	DATE AND TIME 4/10/2009 4:00 pm
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit insp	pection of the following premises at the da	te and time specified below.
PREMISES		DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is s directors, or managing agents, or other persons who matters on which the person will testify. Federal R	cules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).	•
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATION OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTR	E IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE 3/10/09
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PRONE NU	MBER  Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next pa	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE		
	DATE 20 MAR OS	PLACE FBE CJIS DIVISION
SERVED	1:25 PM	Clarksburg, WV 2674
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	Scott Collenar	MANNER OF SERVICE CORPORATE
ERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE
ROBER A	HARPER	Process Server
	DECLARATION	ON OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on

20 MAR 2009

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

215 WMAN ST

CLARKSLURG, WV

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the forms or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

state in which the trial is good,

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or
waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subposma

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or naterial that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoens need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2)(A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpossa that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must this resonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY



**Public Records Request** 

PRU FELE NUMBER (FOR DFS USE ONLY)

TODAYS DATE 11/24/08	REPORT NUMBER (IF	none
DATE OF EVENT: 3/20/2008-3/21/2008	TIME OF EVENT:	3/20 22:57 to 3/21 4:00
SUBJECT'S NAME: Daniel Saul Coven		DOB: 4/1/71
EVENT LOCATION (HIGHWAY/MILEPOST OR STREET ADDR	YESS)	
LICENSE PLATE NUMBER: 067HPG VIN P	NUMBER:	
INVESTIGATING OFFICER NAME: Smith #616,	McClain #380 et al	BADGE NUMBER:
Requesting "records" from ACIS and other sy date of attempts by Chandler Police or its Of variants), License Plate, Home Address (and between 11:55pm on 3/20 and 4:00am on 3/included.	stems that might contain in ficers to search for my perso	formation indicating the time and onal information such as name (and est would be any such attempt
REQUESTOR'S NAME: Daniel Saul Coven	COMPANY NAME &	FAPPLICARIES.
MAILING ADDRESS:	DAYTIME PHONI	E: ( 480 ) 353-0903
30 W Carter Dr #10-108 Tempe, AZ 85282	FAX NUMBER: (	
FOIARequest@tainable.com	WHEN THE RECOR	┌ 17

The Public Records Unit accepts email requests for records, but does not respond to email. Requests for records may take 15-20 days to process, depending on the nature of the request. Please not do call the Public Records Unit to check on the status of your request.

Public Records Unit P. O. Box 6638 Mail Drop 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85005-6638 Phone (602) 223-2345 Fax (602) 223-2945

Email Requests Only: Public\_Records@azdps.gov

Paul & Con

11/04/08

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

2102 WEST ENCANTO BLVD. P.O. BOX 6638, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85005-6638 (602) 223-2000



JANET NAPOLITANO ROGER VANDERPOOL GOVERNOR DIRECTOR

Date:	12/04/08 PRR: 2008-3206 RE: Attached Request
	We are pleased to enclose the information you requested.
	We are pleased to enclose the information you requested with the exception of the lab report(s) which are not complete at this time. Please resubmit a request for the lab report(s) at a later date.
	Enclosed is an invoice for the public records information you requested. Please remit by mail the total amount due in the form of a business check, cashier check or money order, made payable to: AZ DPS. <u>Personal checks will not be accepted.</u> Once payment is received, the records will be mailed to you.
	Enclosed is an invoice for the report you requested. Please choose one or more of the formats indicated on the invoice for the photos taken, total the invoice and return the invoice with your check for the total amount due ( <u>no personal checks accepted</u> ).
	The information you requested is not public record and will not be released at this time.
	The following records were not located or have been purged/destroyed:
	911/Radio tapes or Radio Log/CAD
	Offense/arrest/incident report
	• Photos
	DVD or videos of the incident
	Interview tapes or videos
70	Miscellaneous notes: the records you are looking for are not public record in accordance with ARS 41-1750 and will not be released.

\*\*Contact Public Records @ phone number 602-223-2345 or fax number 602-223-2945 with

further questions.

9,1

122 m	ed by the
UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
<del>-</del> - ··	TRICT OF Arizona
	<del></del>
Daniel Saul Coven	SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE
V.	
City of Chandler	Case Number:1
O: Director Roger Vanderpool Arizona Department of Public Safety 2102 W Encanto Blvd Phoenix, AZ 85005-6638	States District court at the place, date, and time specified below
testify in the above case.	mico District come at the pre, which
LACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME
The second secon	te, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a depositi
in the above case.	tte, and time specified below to tooling as and time of
LACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit in	spection and copying of the following documents or objects at
place, date, and time specified below (list documents ACIS, or similar systems, computer logs indicating quen	v time and date, and lisers of searches conducted by Changi
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200	18 regarding Name. Damer 5 (Saur) Coveri, reduces.
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200 Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-  "LACE Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for Distri	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered rict of Arizona on  DATE AND TIME 4/10/2009 4:00 pm
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200  Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-  PLACE  Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for Distri	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered rict of Arizona on  DATE AND TIME 4/10/2009 4:00 pm
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200 Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-  Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for District  YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered  DATE AND TIME
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200  Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-  Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for District  YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of  PREMISES	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered rict of Arizona on  DATE AND TIME  4/10/2009 4:00 pm  the following premises at the date and time specified below.  DATE AND TIME
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200 Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-  Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for District  YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of PREMISES  Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenage directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered rict of Arizona on  The following premises at the date and time specified below.  DATE AND TIME  DATE AND TIME  DATE AND TIME  If for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated,
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200 Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG- LACE  Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for Distr  YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of REMISES  Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed lirectors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civ	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered rict of Arizona on  The following premises at the date and time specified below.  DATE AND TIME  DATE AND TIME  If for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, will Procedure, 30(b)(6).
Police or officers from 10pm 3/20/2008 to 4am 3/21/200  Queen Creek Rd Chandler 85248, License Plate: HPG-  PLACE  Mailed to Plaintiff at 30 W Carter Dr # 10-108 Tem to the Clerk of Court Federal District Court for District  YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of  PREMISES  Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civilssuing Officer's Signature and Title (Indicate if Attori	pe AZ 85282 before, or delivered rict of Arizona on  The following premises at the date and time specified below.  DATE AND TIME  DATE AND TIME  If for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, will Procedure, 30(b)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

	PRO	OF OF SERVICE	
	DATE	PLACE	······································
SERVED	3/18/2009	2102 W Encanto Blvd.	Phoenix AZ, 85005
ERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE	
Officer Hale #3999 Aut	thorized to accept	Served Duty Officer	
ERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TILE	**************************************
Daniel Ortiz		Process Server 7505	
	DECL 4		<del> </del>
I declare under nenal		RATION OF SERVER	e foregoing information contains
n the Proof of Service	lty of perjury under the laws of t	he United States of America that the	e foregoing information containe
I declare under penal the Proof of Service Executed on	Ity of perjury under the laws of t is true and correct.		e foregoing information containe

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2007:

#### (c) PROTECTING A PERSON SUBJECT TO A SUBPOENA.

- (1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees on a party or attorney who fails to comply.
  - (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.
- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.
  - (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.
- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
  - (ii) ensures that the subpoensed person will be reasonably compensated,

#### (d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO A SUBPOENA.

- Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information.
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
  - (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.
- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoensed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

#### (e) CONTEMPT.

The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

Case 2:09-cv-00477-FJM Document 5 Filed 04/14/09 Page 17 of 17

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

2102 WEST ENCANTO BLVD. P.O. BOX 6638 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85005-6638 (602) 223-2000

"Courteous Vigilance"

JANICE K. BREWER Governor ROGER VANDERPOOL Director

March 24, 2009

Mr. Daniel Saul Coven 1889 W Queen Creek Rd Chandler, AZ 85248

Mr. Coven:

The Department of Public Safety (DPS), Public Records Unit is in receipt of your civil subpoena regarding Daniel Saul Coven v. City of Chandler. Previously, you had sent a public records request for information from the ACJIS network, to include queries, searches etc. conducted by the Chandler Police Department regarding vehicle license plate HPG-067. The Department responded to your request by citing ARS§41-1750 which prohibits the release of the information you requested.

At this time the subpoena is also being returned to you citing the same state statute. The information obtained from the ACJIS network cannot be released through the subpoena process either. Please refer to the statute, which is lengthy but clearly states the information obtained from the ACJIS network cannot be released, this include log searches.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 602-223-2702.

Sincerely,

Teresa Fuentes, Documents Custodian

Arizona Department of Public Safety

Public Records Unit

P.O. Box 6638, MD 1200

Phoenix, Arizona 85005

Email: tfuentes@azdps.gov